

REMARKS

Kahn does not disclose or suggest “a data server for checking whether the input URL provided by the authentication server is a channel URL that is of a set of URLs of the web servers that provide contents of a predetermined field, requesting that the respective web servers corresponding to a URL of the channel URL provide the contents when the input URL is the channel URL binding a plurality of contents respectively provided by the web servers into a single channel prior to transmission of contents to the portable terminal, processing the contents of the single channel into a predetermined format, and transmitting the processed contents to the portable terminal,” as recited in amended claim 2.

Kahn discloses a system for selecting and formatting web contents. An information retrieval engine aggregates contents from web sites, the contents including a variety of forms (col. 9, line 65 to col. 10, line 19). FIG.2 of Kahn shows a process that allows a user to provide information that specifies content to be retrieved for online or offline viewing. The information is transferred to the retrieval engine, and the retrieval engine retrieves web contents corresponding to the information from web sites. The web contents are provided to a network server that converts the web contents into a format suitable for the user’s device, such as a wireless device.

Although Kahn discloses that the user can specify information to the system, and that the system can provide web contents corresponding to the information provided by the user, Kahn does not disclose or suggest checking whether a uniform resource locator (URL) input by the portable terminal is a channel URL that is of a set of URLs of a plurality of web servers that provide the contents of a predetermined subject, when the URL input by the portable terminal is a channel URL, requesting that the respective web servers corresponding to a URL of the channel URL provide the contents, and binding the contents into a single channel of contents prior to transmission of the contents.

What is lacking in Kahn is also not disclosed or suggested in Fuh, Ronen, or Kappel. Fuh discloses a system of providing network access control, Ronen discloses a method of performing centralized billing for transactions conducted over the Internet, and Kappel discloses a system of

securely formatting and mapping data for the internet.

Claims 7, 20, and 23 are patentable for at least similar reasons as claim 2.

Claims 3-6, 8-9, 11-14, and 24-28 are patentable for at least the same reasons as the claims on which they depend.

Canceled claims, if any, have been canceled without prejudice or disclaimer.

Any circumstance in which the applicant has addressed certain comments of the examiner does not mean that the applicant concedes other comments of the examiner. Any circumstance in which the applicant has made arguments for the patentability of some claims does not mean that there are not other good reasons for patentability of those claims and other claims. Any circumstance in which the applicant has amended or canceled a claim does not mean that the applicant concedes any of the examiner's positions with respect to that claim or other claims.

Enclosed is a check of \$60 for the Petition for Extension of Time fee, a check of \$395 for the Request for Continued Examination fee. Please apply any other charges or credits to deposit account 06-1050, reference 13280-003001.

Respectfully submitted,

Date: 1/30/2006

Rex I. Huang  
Rex I. Huang  
Reg. No. 57,661

Fish & Richardson P.C.  
225 Franklin Street  
Boston, MA 02110  
Telephone: (617) 542-5070  
Facsimile: (617) 542-8906